

## ISOMETRIES OF REAL NORMED VECTOR SPACES

KEATON QUINN

If  $V$  is a normed vector space then we turn  $V$  into a metric space by defining  $d(u, v) = \|u - v\|$ . If  $W$  another normed vector space we say a function  $f : V \rightarrow W$  is an *isometry* if  $d_W(f(u), f(v)) = d_V(u, v)$  for all  $u, v \in V$ , or equivalently, if  $\|f(u) - f(v)\|_W = \|u - v\|_V$ . If  $f$  is linear and an isometry then, we have by taking  $v = 0$  that  $\|f(u)\|_W = \|u\|_V$ . This is actually sufficient, take  $u = v - w$ , then  $\|f(v) - f(w)\|_W = \|f(v - w)\|_W = \|v - w\|_V$  so that  $f$  is an isometry.

This is not to say that every linear map is an isometry, since for example  $f(v) = 2v$  scales length by 2. Nor is this to say that every isometry is linear, take for example, translations, which give  $d(u + w, v + w) = \|u + w - (v + w)\| = \|u - v\| = d(u, v)$ . However, if our isometry is surjective (and hence bijective since isometries are always injective) and both our vector spaces real, then we know that  $f$  is a combination of these two maps. That is,  $f$  is a linear transformation followed by a translation:  $f = A + w$  for some linear map  $A$  and vector  $w$ . The original proof of this fact is due to Mazur and Ulam. The proof as presented here is by Nica in [Nic12].

**Theorem** (Mazur–Ulam, 1932). *Let  $V$  and  $W$  be two real normed vector spaces and suppose  $f : V \rightarrow W$  is a surjective isometry, then  $f$  is an affine transformation. That is, there exists a linear map  $A : V \rightarrow W$  and a vector  $w \in W$  such that  $f = A + w$ .*

*Proof.* Being affine has an equivalent characterization in terms of preserving line segments (we will show the useful direction below). So one of our goals is to show

$$(*) \quad f(ta + (1 - t)b) = tf(a) + (1 - t)f(b)$$

for all  $a$  and  $b$ . It turns out, preserving line segments will follow from preserving midpoints of line segments. That is, if we have

$$f\left(\frac{a + b}{2}\right) = \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2},$$

then by replacing  $a$  or  $b$  with  $\frac{a+b}{2}$  and repeating we get  $(*)$  for all  $t = \frac{k}{2^n} \in [0, 1]$ . Since these points are dense in  $[0, 1]$  by continuity of  $f$  we get  $(*)$  for all  $t$ . We do this now.

Fix two points  $a, b \in V$ , we show that

$$f\left(\frac{a + b}{2}\right) = \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2}$$

for every surjective isometry  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ . To do this we define the possible affine defect  $f$  might have as

$$D(f) = \left\| f\left(\frac{a + b}{2}\right) - \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \right\|.$$

We want to show  $D(f) = 0$  for all desired  $f$ .

Note that we have a uniform bound on the defect:

$$\begin{aligned}
D(f) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - f(a) \right\|_W + \frac{1}{2} \left\| f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - f(b) \right\|_W \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{a+b}{2} - a \right\|_V + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{a+b}{2} - b \right\|_V \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \|a-b\|_V + \frac{1}{4} \|a-b\|_V \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \|a-b\|_V.
\end{aligned}$$

It is this bound that helps us get a contradiction to possible positive affine defect. Define  $\rho$  as reflection about the vector  $\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}$  in  $W$ , that is

$$\rho : W \rightarrow W \quad \text{by} \quad \rho(w) = f(a) + f(b) - w.$$

Now, since  $f$  is invertible, define  $R(f) : V \rightarrow V$  by  $R(f) = f^{-1} \circ \rho \circ f$ . Note that  $R(f)(a) = b$  and  $R(f)(b) = a$ . Now since  $f^{-1}$  is also an isometry, we can compute the affine defect of  $R(f)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
D(R(f)) &= \left\| R(f)\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - \frac{R(f)(a) + R(f)(b)}{2} \right\|_V \\
&= \left\| f^{-1}\left(\rho\left(f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right)\right) - f^{-1}\left(f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right) \right\|_V \\
&= \left\| \rho\left(f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right) - f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right\|_W \\
&= \left\| f(a) - f(b) - f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right\|_W \\
&= \left\| 2\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} - 2f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right\|_W \\
&= 2D(f)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, if we iterate this process, we get  $D(R^n(f)) = 2^n D(f)$ . For large enough  $n$  this violates our uniform bound. Thus  $D(f) = 0$ . Note that this reasoning works for any two  $a, b \in V$ . We will use this to show that  $f$  is affine.

Now, we wish to show  $f$  is affine, that is  $f = A + w$  for some vector  $w \in W$ . A quick evaluation at 0 gives  $w = f(0)$ . So, it suffices to show  $f - f(0)$  is linear. Call this function  $A$ . We compute for  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
A(tv) &= f(tv + (1-t) \cdot 0) - f(0) \\
&= tf(v) + (1-t)f(0) - f(0) \\
&= tf(v) - tf(0) \\
&= tA(v).
\end{aligned}$$

We will get the remaining  $t$  in a second.

Using this, a computations gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}A(v+u) &= A\left(\frac{1}{2}v + \frac{1}{2}u\right) = f\left(\frac{1}{2}v + \frac{1}{2}u\right) - f(0) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}f(v) + \frac{1}{2}f(u) - \frac{1}{2}f(0) - \frac{1}{2}f(0) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}A(v) + \frac{1}{2}A(u), \end{aligned}$$

so  $A$  is additive and we just need it homogenous now. To this end we see

$$0 = A(0) = A(v-v) = A(v) + A(-v) \implies A(-v) = -A(v).$$

Thus, we've reduced this to showing  $A(\lambda v) = \lambda A(v)$  for  $\lambda > 1$ . Since  $A$  is additive, we have from induction that  $A(kv) = kA(v)$  for positive integers. For a general  $\lambda > 1$  decompose it as  $\lambda = \lfloor \lambda \rfloor + \langle \lambda \rangle$ , then finally,

$$A(\lambda v) = A(\lfloor \lambda \rfloor v + \langle \lambda \rangle v) = A(\lfloor \lambda \rfloor v) + A(\langle \lambda \rangle v) = \lfloor \lambda \rfloor A(v) + \langle \lambda \rangle A(v) = \lambda A(v),$$

as desired.  $\square$

So, if  $f$  is a bijective isometry between two real normed vector spaces it is affine:  $f = A + f(0)$ . If  $f$  happens to send the origin to the origin then it is linear and in particular an isomorphism. Moreover, since translating by  $f(0)$  is an isometry, we see that  $d(Au, Av) = d(f(u), f(v)) = d(u, v)$  and so  $A$  is a linear isometry.

That the vector spaces are real is necessary as is the surjectivity. Take complex conjugation from  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . This is an isometry ( $|\bar{z} - \bar{w}| = |z - w|$ ) and is surjective, but it is not complex linear. For surjectivity, take  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  by  $f(x) = (x, |x|)$ ; this is an isometry but not real linear.

Denote by  $\text{Isom}_{\mathbf{Met}}(V)$  the isometry group of  $V$  consisting of all surjective isometries  $V \rightarrow V$ . Similarly, let  $\text{Isom}_{\mathbf{Vect}}(V)$  be the invertible linear isometry of  $V$ . The Mazur-Ulam theorem tells us we have a bijective correspondence between the sets

$$\text{Isom}_{\mathbf{Met}}(V) = \text{Isom}_{\mathbf{Vect}}(V) \times V \quad \text{by} \quad f \leftrightarrow (A, a)$$

However, since the composition is given by

$$(A, a) \cdot (B, b) \leftrightarrow A(B+b) + a = AB + (Ab + a) \leftrightarrow (AB, Ab + a)$$

we see the multiplication on  $\text{Isom}_{\mathbf{Met}}(V)$  is not just components wise, but uses the homomorphism  $\varphi : \text{Isom}_{\mathbf{Vect}}(V) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V)$  by  $\varphi(A) = A$ . So as groups we have the semi-direct product

$$\text{Isom}_{\mathbf{Met}}(V) = \text{Isom}_{\mathbf{Vect}}(V) \ltimes V.$$

To specialize to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with norm given by the dot product we have  $\text{LIsom}(\mathbb{R}^n) = O(n)$  so that

$$\text{Isom}_{\mathbf{Met}}(\mathbb{R}^n) = O(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n.$$

#### REFERENCES

[Nic12] Bogdan Nica. The Mazur-Ulam theorem. *Expo. Math.*, 30(4):397–398, 2012.